

Summary of the 2008 through 2012 Portfolio Analysis data as aligned to the IACC Strategic Plan

Please note that the 2011 and 2012 data are still draft data and have not yet been finalized – they are still subject to change.

Please read the following notes prior to reviewing the table:

- Objective labels are representative of the 2011 Strategic Plan. Thus, projects from 2008 and 2009 may have been labeled with a different objective number compared to the label seen in this chart. However, the funding data is accurately matched to its associated objective on the left even if the objective number was altered in previous years.
- For projects from 2008-2010 there is a direct link to the list of projects for each objective. To view the individual projects in 2011 and 2012, please refer to the project lists included in the meeting materials.
- *Blue* font indicates revisions in the text of the objective, including additions and substitutions in text that occurred from the 2008 Strategic Plan to the 2009 Strategic Plan. The *red* font indicates revisions, including additions and substitutions in text that occurred from the 2009 Strategic Plan to the 2010 Strategic Plan.
- The IACC recommended budget represents the most updated budget cost for 2011. If the recommended budget has been revised since 2008 the year the revision took place is found in parentheses following the budget figure. Thus, if there is no mention of a revision, the IACC recommended budget has remained constant from 2008-2011.
- Current project and funding status for each question or objective is indicated within the table by colored highlighting of the objective. Any objective highlighted **green** has greater than or equal to the recommended funding; any objective highlighted **yellow** has some degree of funding or active projects, but less than the recommended amount; while any objective highlighted **red** has no funding or active projects.
- Highlighting of each total gives an indication of the progress toward meeting the IACC recommended budget for each objective. **Green** highlighting indicates that funding fully meets the recommend budget. **Yellow** highlighting denotes that funding for a particular objective partially meets the IACC recommended budget, while **red** highlighting indicates that there has been no funding towards the particular objective.
- Please note that while the green, yellow and red indicators suggest a funding status for each year and that looking across all years may give some indication of a trend, that some agencies and organizations provide all the funding for multiyear grants in a single year, resulting in the appearance of “less funding” in other years, but that projects fulfilling the objectives may still have been ongoing in the years where the funding appears to be less. Thus, it is important to note the numbers of projects in looking across the chart, and to keep in mind that in a series, where, for example, most of the indicators are green, that the objective is likely to be largely “complete” according to the funding-based measure. This, however, does not provide information on whether or not the objectives were completed in terms of intended project results and outcomes. Please also note that in some cases, projects may have been funded, but were accomplished with smaller budgets than anticipated, so a “yellow” designation may not necessarily be indicative of ongoing need for more effort.

QUESTION 3: WHAT CAUSED THIS TO HAPPEN AND CAN IT BE PREVENTED?

IACC Strategic Plan Objectives

Funding

	Year 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
Coordinate and implement the inclusion of approximately 20,000 subjects for genome-wide association studies, as well as a sample of 1,200 for sequencing studies to examine more than 50 candidate genes by 2011. Studies should investigate factors contributing to phenotypic variation across individuals who share an identified genetic variant and stratify subjects according to behavioral, cognitive, and clinical features.	3.2 \$4,065,392 14 projects	3.S.A \$13,926,663 11 projects	3.S.A \$16,688,932 14 projects	3.S.A \$2,207,214 7 projects	3.S.A \$1,699,432 6 projects	\$38,587,633
Within the highest-priority categories of exposures for ASD, identify and standardize at least three measures for identifying markers of environmental exposure in biospecimens by 2011.	3.3 \$713,227 4 projects	3.S.B \$0 0 projects	3.S.B \$0 0 projects	3.S.B \$0 0 projects	3.S.B \$100,000 1 project	\$813,227
Initiate efforts to expand existing large case-control and other studies to enhance capabilities for targeted gene-environment research by 2011.	3.4 \$4,703,867 4 projects	3.S.C \$8,033,454 9 projects	3.S.C \$4,824,779 8 projects	3.S.C \$5,714,408 10 projects	3.S.C \$3,626,803 9 projects	\$26,903,311
Enhance existing case-control studies to enroll racially and ethnically diverse populations affected by ASD by 2011.	3.5 \$84,628 2 projects	3.S.D \$103,827 3 projects	3.S.D \$0 0 projects	3.S.D \$0 0 projects	3.S.D \$0 0 projects	\$188,455
Support at least two studies to determine if there are subpopulations that are more susceptible to environmental exposures (e.g., immune challenges related to infections, vaccinations, or underlying autoimmune problems) by 2012.	N/A	3.S.E \$1,739,200 13 projects	3.S.E \$1,162,679 10 projects	3.S.E \$419,215 5 projects	3.S.E \$287,218 5 projects	\$3,608,312
Initiate studies on at least 10 environmental factors identified in the recommendations from the 2007 IOM report "Autism and the Environment: Challenges and Opportunities for Research" as potential causes of ASD by 2012.	3.1 \$7,600,673 19 projects	3.S.F \$2,952,960 14 projects	3.S.F \$166,362 5 projects	3.S.F \$0 3 projects	3.S.F \$75,000 1 project	\$10,794,995
Convene a workshop that explores the usefulness of bioinformatic approaches to identify environmental risks for ASD by 2011.	N/A	N/A	3.S.G \$0 0 projects	3.S.G \$46,991 1 project	3.S.G* \$0 0 projects	\$46,991
Support at least three studies of special populations or use existing databases to inform our understanding of environmental risk factors for ASD in pregnancy and the early postnatal period by 2012. Such studies could include:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comparisons of populations differing in geography, gender, ethnic background, exposure history (e.g., prematurity, maternal infection, nutritional deficiencies, toxins), and migration patterns; and Comparisons of phenotype (e.g., cytokine profiles), in children with and without a history of autistic regression, adverse events following immunization (such as fever and seizures), and mitochondrial impairment. These studies may also include comparisons of phenotype between children with regressive ASD and their siblings. 	N/A	N/A	3.S.H \$1,527,866 13 projects	3.S.H \$4,657,095 16 projects	3.S.H \$4,096,317 13 projects	\$10,281,278
Emphasis on environmental factors that influence prenatal and early postnatal development is particularly of high priority. Epidemiological studies should pay special attention to include racially and ethnically diverse populations.						
IACC Recommended Budget: \$12,000,000 over 5 years						

This document is for discussion purposes only and does not reflect the decisions of the IACC

Support at least two studies that examine potential differences in the microbiome of individuals with ASD versus comparison groups by 2012.	N/A	N/A	3.S.I \$53,960 3 projects	3.S.I \$439,971 4 projects	3.S.I \$255,332 6 projects	\$749,263
IACC Recommended Budget: \$1,000,000 over 2 years						
Support at least three studies that focus on the role of epigenetics in the etiology of ASD, including studies that include assays to measure DNA methylations and histone modifications and those exploring how exposures may act on maternal or paternal genomes via epigenetic mechanisms to alter gene expression, by 2012.	N/A	N/A	3.S.J \$5,072,389 15 projects	3.S.J \$5,341,237 19 projects	3.S.J \$6,122,724 22 projects	\$16,536,350
IACC Recommended Budget: \$20,000,000 over 5 years						
Support two studies and a workshop that facilitate the development of vertebrate and invertebrate model systems for the exploration of environmental risks and their interaction with gender and genetic susceptibilities for ASD by 2012.	N/A	N/A	3.S.K \$733,922 5 projects	3.S.K \$463,841 3 projects	3.S.K \$90,000 3 projects	\$1,287,763
IACC Recommended Budget: \$1,535,000 over 3 years						
Conduct a multi-site study of the subsequent pregnancies of 1,000 women with a child with ASD to assess the impact of environmental factors in a period most relevant to the progression of ASD by 2014.	3.7 \$2,742,999 1 project	3.L.A \$3,740,812 2 projects	3.L.A \$2,971,093 2 projects	3.L.A \$2,864,377 1 project	3.L.A \$2,875,202 2 projects	\$15,194,483
IACC Recommended Budget: \$11,100,000 over 5 years						
Identify genetic risk factors in at least 50% of people with ASD by 2014.	3.8 \$37,043,410 83 projects	3.L.B \$49,905,587 79 projects	3.L.B \$34,432,884 60 projects	3.L.B \$25,383,346 59 projects	3.L.B \$23,041,231 74 projects	\$169,806,458
IACC Recommended Budget: \$33,900,000 over 6 years						
Determine the effect of at least five environmental factors on the risk for subtypes of ASD in the prenatal and early postnatal period of development by 2015.	3.6 \$1,803,628 13 projects	3.L.C \$1,992,228 10 projects	3.L.C \$820,320 10 projects	3.L.C \$379,913 5 projects	3.L.C \$353,000 5 projects	\$5,349,089
IACC Recommended Budget: \$25,100,000 over 7 years						
Support ancillary studies within one or more large-scale, population-based surveillance and epidemiological studies, including U.S. populations, to collect data on environmental factors during preconception, and during prenatal and early postnatal development, as well as genetic data, that could be pooled (as needed) to analyze targets for potential gene/environment interactions by 2015.	3.9 \$17,297,788 29 projects	3.L.D \$9,135,505 12 projects	3.L.D \$11,464,011 10 projects	3.L.D \$11,567,250 10 projects	3.L.D \$13,549,160 12 projects	\$63,013,714
IACC Recommended Budget: \$44,400,000 over 5 years						
Not specific to any objective	3.Other \$6,791,008 52 projects	3.Other \$8,512,980 39 projects	3.Other \$1,312,450 7 projects	3.Other \$724,770 5 projects	3.Other \$315,607 3 projects	\$17,656,815
Total funding for Question 3	\$82,846,620 221 projects	\$100,043,216 192 projects	\$81,231,647 162 projects	\$60,209,628 148 projects	\$56,487,025 162 projects	\$380,818,136